

# INVERSE VISCOSITY BOUNDARY VALUE PROBLEM FOR THE STOKES EVOLUTIONARY EQUATION

Sebastián Zamorano Aliaga

Departamento de Ingeniería Matemática  
Universidad de Chile

**Workshop Chile-Euskadi**

9-10 December 2014

## Joint Work with

- ▶ [Jaime Ortega](#)  
Departamento de Ingeniería Matemática, Universidad de Chile, Chile.
- ▶ [Rodrigo Lecaros](#)  
Centro de Modelación Matemática (CMM), Universidad de Chile, Chile.

# Contenido

- 1 Introduction
- 2 Main Result
- 3 Proof Main Result
- 4 References

# Isakov Result

Consider the parabolic problem

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u - \operatorname{div}(a \nabla u) + cu = 0 & , \text{ in } \Omega \times (0, T), \\ u = g_0 & , \text{ on } \partial\Omega \times (0, T), \\ u(x, 0) = 0 & , \text{ in } \Omega, \end{cases}$$

We define the Dirichlet-to-Neumann map as

$$\Gamma_I(g_0) = \frac{\partial u}{\partial n}, \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega$$

# Isakov Result

## Theorem 9.4.1, Isakov [3]

Let  $a$  be a scalar matrix. Then the lateral Dirichlet-to-Neumann map  $\Gamma_l$  determines  $a$  and  $b$ .

# Isakov Result

## Theorem 9.4.1, Isakov [3]

Let  $a$  be a scalar matrix. Then the lateral Dirichlet-to-Neumann map  $\Gamma_l$  determines  $a$  and  $b$ .

- ▶ The proof is based in make use of stabilization of solutions of parabolic problem when  $t \rightarrow \infty$ , reducing the inverse parabolic problem to inverse elliptic problem with parameter.

# Stokes Equations

$$(1) \quad \begin{cases} u_t - \operatorname{div}(\sigma_\mu(u, p)) = 0 & , \quad \text{in } \Omega \times (0, T), \\ \operatorname{div} u = 0 & , \quad \text{in } \Omega \times (0, T), \\ u(x, 0) = u_0(x) & , \quad \text{in } \Omega, \end{cases}$$

where  $u = (u_1, u_2, u_3)$  is the velocity vector field and  $p$  is the pressure and

$$\sigma_\mu(u, p) = 2\mu e(u) - pl_3$$

is the stress tensor, where  $e(u) = ((\nabla u) + (\nabla u)^T)/2$ , and  $\mu > 0$  is the viscosity function.

- ▶ We are interested in the following inverse problem:



- ▶ We are interested in the following inverse problem:

Identifiability of  $\mu$  by overdetermined data.

We note that when  $\mu$  is constant, the problem (1) can be reduced to the following familiar form

$$(2) \quad \begin{cases} u_t - \mu \Delta u + \nabla p = 0 & , \quad \text{in } \Omega \times (0, T), \\ \operatorname{div} u = 0 & , \quad \text{in } \Omega \times (0, T), \\ u(x, 0) = u_0(x) & , \quad \text{in } \Omega, \end{cases}$$

If  $u$  is independent of time, then problem (1) can be formulated as

$$(3) \quad \begin{cases} -\operatorname{div} (\sigma_\mu(u, p)) = 0 & , \quad \text{in } \Omega, \\ \operatorname{div} u = 0 & , \quad \text{in } \Omega \end{cases}$$

- ▶ Heck, Li, and Wang (2007): **Identifiability** for system (3) (Stationary Stokes Equation.)

- ▶ Heck, Li, and Wang (2007): **Identifiability** for system (3) (Stationary Stokes Equation.)
- ▶ Lai, Uhlmann, and Wang (2014) : **Identifiability** for Stokes and Navier-Stokes equations in the plane.

- ▶ Heck, Li, and Wang (2007): **Identifiability** for system (3) (Stationary Stokes Equation.)
- ▶ Lai, Uhlmann, and Wang (2014) : **Identifiability** for Stokes and Navier-Stokes equations in the plane.
- ▶ Isakov (1999) : Some inverse problem for the diffusion equation.

# Contenido

1 Introduction

**2 Main Result**

3 Proof Main Result

4 References

# Mathematical Setup

Let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3$  be an open bounded connected domain with boundary  $\partial\Omega \in C^2$ .

Consider the following boundary value problem

$$(4) \quad \begin{cases} u_t - \operatorname{div}(\sigma_\mu(u, p)) = 0 & , \text{ in } \Omega \times (0, T), \\ \operatorname{div} u = 0 & , \text{ in } \Omega \times (0, T), \\ u = g & , \text{ on } \partial\Omega \times (0, T), \\ u(x, 0) = u_0(x) & , \text{ in } \Omega, \end{cases}$$

where  $g$  satisfies the compatibility condition

$$\int_{\partial\Omega} g \cdot n \, ds = 0,$$

where  $n$  is the unit outer normal of  $\partial\Omega$ ,

- ▶ Assume that the solution of (4) exists and the trace

$$\sigma_\mu(u, p) \cdot n|_{\partial\Omega}$$

is well defined.



- ▶ Assume that the solution of (4) exists and the trace

$$\sigma_\mu(u, p) \cdot n|_{\partial\Omega}$$

is well defined.

- ▶ Physically,  $\sigma(u, p) \cdot n|_{\partial\Omega}$  is the Cauchy forces acting on the boundary  $\partial\Omega$ .

- ▶ Assume that the solution of (4) exists and the trace

$$\sigma_\mu(u, p) \cdot n|_{\partial\Omega}$$

is well defined.

- ▶ Physically,  $\sigma(u, p) \cdot n|_{\partial\Omega}$  is the Cauchy forces acting on the boundary  $\partial\Omega$ .
- ▶ Define the set of Cauchy data for (4)

$$S_\mu = \{(u|_{\partial\Omega}, \sigma_\mu(u, p) \cdot n|_{\partial\Omega}) : (u, p) \text{ solution to (4)}\}.$$

Inverse Problem : Determine  $\mu$  from  $S_\mu$ .

Inverse Problem : Determine  $\mu$  from  $S_\mu$ .

Identifiability : if  $S_{\mu_1} = S_{\mu_2}$  then  $\mu_1 = \mu_2$ ?

Inverse Problem : Determine  $\mu$  from  $S_\mu$ .

Identifiability : if  $S_{\mu_1} = S_{\mu_2}$  then  $\mu_1 = \mu_2$ ? .

### Theorem 1

Assume that  $\mu_1$  and  $\mu_2$  are two viscosity functions satisfying  $\mu_1, \mu_2 \in C^k(\bar{\Omega})$  for  $k \geq 8$  and

- (5)  $\mu_i \geq 1, \forall i = 1, 2.$   
 (6)  $\mu_1(x) = \mu_2(x), \forall x \in \partial\Omega.$

Let  $S_{\mu_1}$  and  $S_{\mu_2}$  be the Cauchy data associated with  $\mu_1$  and  $\mu_2$ , respectively. If  $S_{\mu_1} = S_{\mu_2}$ , then  $\mu_1 = \mu_2$ .

# Contenido

- 1 Introduction
- 2 Main Result
- 3 Proof Main Result**
- 4 References

# Sketch Proof

- Stabilization of solutions of following Stokes problem

$$(7) \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} u_t - \operatorname{div} (\sigma_\mu(u, p)) + u & = 0 \quad , \quad \text{en } \Omega \times (0, T), \\ \operatorname{div} u & = 0 \quad , \quad \text{en } \Omega \times (0, T), \\ u & = g \quad , \quad \text{sobre } \partial\Omega \times (0, T), \\ u(x, 0) & = u_0(x) \quad , \quad \text{en } \Omega. \end{array} \right.$$

# Sketch Proof

- ▶ Stabilization of solutions of following Stokes problem

$$(7) \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} u_t - \operatorname{div} (\sigma_\mu(u, p)) + u & = 0 \quad , \quad \text{en } \Omega \times (0, T), \\ \operatorname{div} u & = 0 \quad , \quad \text{en } \Omega \times (0, T), \\ u & = g \quad , \quad \text{sobre } \partial\Omega \times (0, T), \\ u(x, 0) & = u_0(x) \quad , \quad \text{en } \Omega. \end{array} \right.$$

- ▶ Identifiability result for the stationary Stokes problem

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} -\operatorname{div} (\sigma_\mu(u_\infty, p_\infty)) + u_\infty & = 0 \quad , \quad \text{en } \Omega, \\ \operatorname{div} u_\infty & = 0 \quad , \quad \text{en } \Omega, \\ u & = g(T^*) \quad , \quad \text{sobre } \partial\Omega. \end{array} \right.$$



# Sketch Proof

- ▶ Stabilization of solutions of following Stokes problem

$$(7) \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} u_t - \operatorname{div} (\sigma_\mu(u, p)) + u &= 0 & , \quad \text{en } \Omega \times (0, T), \\ \operatorname{div} u &= 0 & , \quad \text{en } \Omega \times (0, T), \\ u &= g & , \quad \text{sobre } \partial\Omega \times (0, T), \\ u(x, 0) &= u_0(x) & , \quad \text{en } \Omega. \end{array} \right.$$

- ▶ Identifiability result for the stationary Stokes problem

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} -\operatorname{div} (\sigma_\mu(u_\infty, p_\infty)) + u_\infty &= 0 & , \quad \text{en } \Omega, \\ \operatorname{div} u_\infty &= 0 & , \quad \text{en } \Omega, \\ u &= g(T^*) & , \quad \text{sobre } \partial\Omega. \end{array} \right.$$

- ▶ Conclusion of result.

# Asymptotic Stability Evolutionary Stokes Solution

Consider the following evolutionary Stokes system

$$(8) \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} u_t - \operatorname{div} (\sigma_\mu(u, p)) + u & = 0 \quad , \quad \text{in } \Omega \times (0, T), \\ \operatorname{div} u & = 0 \quad , \quad \text{in } \Omega \times (0, T), \\ u & = g \quad , \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega \times (0, T), \\ u(x, 0) & = u_0(x) \quad , \quad \text{in } \Omega. \end{array} \right.$$

Then, we can prove the following result for solutions of this systems

## Theorem 2

Let  $(u, p)$  be a solution of (8). Assume that  $g$  do not depend on  $t$ , for all  $t \in (T^*/2, T)$  for some  $T^* > 0$ . Then, there exists constants  $C_1, C_2 > 0$  such that

$$\|u(t) - u_\infty\|_{H^1(\Omega)} \leq \|u(T^*/2) - u_\infty\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 e^{-2C_1 t} +$$

$$\|\nabla u(T^*/2) - \nabla u_\infty\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 e^{\frac{-2C_2 t}{\mu}}, \quad \forall t > \frac{T^*}{2},$$

where  $(u_\infty, p_\infty) \in H^2(\Omega) \times H^1(\Omega)$  is a solution to the (stationary) Stokes problem

$$(9) \quad \begin{cases} -\operatorname{div}(\sigma_\mu(u_\infty, p_\infty)) + u_\infty & = 0 & , & \text{in } \Omega, \\ \operatorname{div} u_\infty & = 0 & , & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u_\infty & = g(T^*) & , & \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases}$$

# Identifiability of Viscosity: Stationary Case

Let  $(u, p)$  be the solution to the stationary Stokes problem

$$(10) \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} -\operatorname{div} (\sigma_{\mu}(v_{\infty}, q_{\infty})) + v_{\infty} & = 0 \quad , \quad \text{in } \Omega, \\ \operatorname{div} v_{\infty} & = 0 \quad , \quad \text{in } \Omega, \\ v_{\infty} & = g^0 \quad , \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{array} \right.$$

### Theorem 3

Let  $(u, p)$  be the solution to the stationary Stokes problem (10). Assume that  $\mu_1(x)$  and  $\mu_2(x)$  are two viscosity function satisfying

$$\mu_1, \mu_2 \in C^k(\bar{\Omega}), \quad \forall k \geq 8.$$

$$\mu_i \geq 1, \quad \forall i = 1, 2.$$

$$\mu_1(x) = \mu_2(x), \quad \forall x \in \partial\Omega.$$

Let  $S_{\mu_1}^E$  and  $S_{\mu_2}^E$  be the Cauchy data associated with  $\mu_1$  and  $\mu_2$ , respectively. If  $S_{\mu_1}^E = S_{\mu_2}^E$ , then  $\mu_1 = \mu_2$ .

# Proof Theorem 1

- Consider the substitution  $u = ve^{\lambda t}$ ,  $p = qe^{\lambda t}$ , with  $\lambda > 0$ . Then

$$(11) \quad \begin{cases} v_t - \operatorname{div}(\sigma_\mu(v, q)) + \lambda v = 0 & , \quad \text{en } \Omega \times (0, T), \\ \operatorname{div} v = 0 & , \quad \text{en } \Omega \times (0, T). \end{cases}$$

# Proof Theorem 1

- Consider the substitution  $u = ve^{\lambda t}$ ,  $p = qe^{\lambda t}$ , with  $\lambda > 0$ . Then

$$(11) \quad \begin{cases} v_t - \operatorname{div}(\sigma_\mu(v, q)) + \lambda v = 0 & , \quad \text{en } \Omega \times (0, T), \\ \operatorname{div} v = 0 & , \quad \text{en } \Omega \times (0, T). \end{cases}$$

- Let  $g = g^0\phi$ , where  $g^0$  any function in  $C^2(\overline{\Omega})$  and  $\phi(t) \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$  satisfies the conditions  $\phi(t) = 0$  on  $(\infty, T^*/4)$  and  $\phi(t) = e^{\lambda t}$  on  $(T^*/2, \infty)$ .

# Proof Theorem 1

- ▶ Consider the substitution  $u = ve^{\lambda t}$ ,  $p = qe^{\lambda t}$ , with  $\lambda > 0$ . Then

$$(11) \quad \begin{cases} v_t - \operatorname{div}(\sigma_\mu(v, q)) + \lambda v = 0 & , \quad \text{en } \Omega \times (0, T), \\ \operatorname{div} v = 0 & , \quad \text{en } \Omega \times (0, T). \end{cases}$$

- ▶ Let  $g = g^0 \phi$ , where  $g^0$  any function in  $C^2(\overline{\Omega})$  and  $\phi(t) \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$  satisfies the conditions  $\phi(t) = 0$  on  $(\infty, T^*/4)$  and  $\phi(t) = e^{\lambda t}$  on  $(T^*/2, \infty)$ .
- ▶ The boundary data of the equation (11) is independent of  $t > T^*/2$ .



- ▶ We can define the Cauchy data,  $S_{\mu}^E$ , associated with the stationary problem (10), using Theorem 2.





- ▶ We can define the Cauchy data,  $S_{\mu}^E$ , associated with the stationary problem (10), using Theorem 2.
- ▶ Then  $S_{\mu_1} = S_{\mu_2}$  then  $S_{\mu_1}^E = S_{\mu_2}^E$ .

- ▶ We can define the Cauchy data,  $S_{\mu}^E$ , associated with the stationary problem (10), using Theorem 2.
- ▶ Then  $S_{\mu_1} = S_{\mu_2}$  then  $S_{\mu_1}^E = S_{\mu_2}^E$ .
- ▶ Theorem 3 implies that  $\mu_1 = \mu_2$ .

# Contenido

- 1 Introduction
- 2 Main Result
- 3 Proof Main Result
- 4 References**

# References

-  G. ESKIN AND J. RALSTON. *On the inverse boundary value problem for linear isotropic elasticity*, Inverse Problems, **18** (2002), 907-921.
-  H. HECK, R. LI, AND J.N. WANG, *Identification of Viscosity in an Incompressible Fluid*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. 56 (2007), no. 5, 2489-2510.
-  V. ISAKOV, *Some inverse problems for the diffusion equation*, Inverse Problems **15** (1999) 3-10.
-  R. Lai, G.Uhlmann, and J-N. Wang, *Inverse Boundary Value Problem for the Stokes and the Navier-Stokes equations in the plane*, arXiv febrero 2014.

Thank you for your attention