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1. INTRODUCTION: MOTIVATION

Radar Cross Section (RCS) Analysis

\[ \text{RCS} = 4\pi \frac{\text{Power scattered to receiver per unit solid angle}}{\text{Incident power density}} = \lim_{r \to \infty} 4\pi r^2 \frac{|E^s|}{|E^i|}. \]

Goal: Determine the RCS of a plane.
1. INTRODUCTION: MOTIVATION

Scattering on a perfect electric conductor (PEC) disk, $ka=0.5$

\[ \mathbf{E}^{\text{inc}} = e_x e^{i k_0 e_z x} \]

\[ e_t J_S = f(\theta) g(p) \] where $f$ is a regular function, and $g$ has an edge singularity of the type

\[ g(p) = [1 - (p/a)^2]^{-1/2}. \]

Goal: Determine the scattered electric field.
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1. INTRODUCTION: MOTIVATION

Modeling of a Logging While Drilling (LWD) electromagnetic measuring device

Goal: Determine EM field at the receiver antennas.


1. INTRODUCTION: MAXWELL’S EQUATIONS

Time Harmonic Maxwell’s Equations:

\[
\nabla \times \mathbf{E} = -j\mu\omega\mathbf{H} \\
\nabla \times \mathbf{H} = j\omega\varepsilon\mathbf{E} + \sigma\mathbf{E} + \mathbf{J}^{imp}
\]

Reduced Wave Equation:

\[
\nabla \times \left(\frac{1}{\mu} \nabla \times \mathbf{E}\right) - (\omega^2\varepsilon - j\omega\sigma)\mathbf{E} = -j\omega\mathbf{J}^{imp}
\]

Boundary Conditions (BC):

- **Dirichlet BC at a PEC surface:**
  \[
  \mathbf{n} \times \mathbf{E}^s = -\mathbf{n} \times \mathbf{E}^{inc} \quad \mathbf{n} \times \mathbf{E} = 0
  \]

- **Neumann continuity BC at a material interface:**
  \[
  \mathbf{n} \times \frac{1}{\mu} \nabla \times \mathbf{E}^s = -\mathbf{n} \times \frac{1}{\mu} \nabla \times \mathbf{E}^{inc} \quad \mathbf{n} \times \frac{1}{\mu} \nabla \times \mathbf{E} = -j\omega\mathbf{J}^{imp}_S
  \]

- **Silver Müller radiation condition at \(\infty\):**
  \[
  \mathbf{e}_r \times (\nabla \times \mathbf{E}^s) - jk_0 \times \mathbf{E}^s = O(r^{-2})
  \]
1. INTRODUCTION: \textit{hp}-FINITE ELEMENTS

\textbf{Exponential convergence rates}
for a number of regular and SINGULAR problems

for optimal \textit{hp}-grids
in the asymptotic range (theoretical and numerical results), and
in the pre-asymptotic range (numerical results).

\textbf{Smaller dispersion (pollution) error}
as $p$ increases.

\textbf{More geometrical details captured}
as $h$ decreases.
1. **INTRODUCTION: hp-FINITE ELEMENTS**

1. **PHLEX**, an advanced $hp$-adaptive finite element kernel trademark of COMCO Corp. It also includes an automatic adaptive procedure in both $h$ and $p$, but decision between $h$- and $p$-refinement for a given element has to be performed manually.


3. **Pro/MECHANICA**, a trademark of Parametric Technology Corp., Waltham, Massachusetts.


5. **STRIPE**, developed by Flygtekniska Försöksanstalten, Bromma, Sweden.


7. Philipp Frauenfelder and Ch. Schwab are developing an $hp$-FE code for general elliptic 3D problems, Zürich, Switzerland.

8. **3Dhp90**, under development by Dr. Demkowicz and his team, Austin, Texas.
1. INTRODUCTION: *hp*-FINITE ELEMENTS

**3Dhp90: main features**

- Isoparametric hexahedras.
- Isotropic and anisotropic mesh refinements.
- Geometrical Modeling Package (GMP).
- New data structure in Fortran 90.
- Constrained information reconstructed (not stored).
- Two levels of logical operations:
  1. operations for nodes - problem independent.
  2. operations for nodal dof - problem dependent.
- Fully automatic *hp*-adaptive strategy.

—provides exponential convergence rates—
1. INTRODUCTION: *hp*-FINITE ELEMENTS

**Fully automatic *hp*-adaptive strategy**

- Global *hp*-refinement
- *hp*-adaptivity
1. INTRODUCTION: *hp*-FINITE ELEMENTS

Automatic *hp*-adaptivity delivers exponential convergence and enables solution of challenging EM problems

---

**Need for a Two Grid Solver**

- Coarse Grid
  - *hp*-grid
- Fine Grid
  - *h/2,p+1*-grid
- Next optimal fine grid

Minimization of the projection based interpolation error
1. INTRODUCTION: Literature Review

- *hp*-Finite Elements.

- *hp*-edge Finite Elements.

- Multigrid solver for self-adjoint and positive definite (spd) problems.

- Multigrid solver for electromagnetics.
1. INTRODUCTION: Literature Review

hp-Finite Elements

- **Exponential convergence.**
  - 1D - Gui and Babuska, 1986 -.
  - 2D and 3D - Babuska and Guo, 1986, 1996 -.

- **Nearly singular problems (robustness)** - Babuska, Suri, 1992 -.

- **Data structures** - Demkowicz, Oden, Rachowicz, Hardy, 1989 - , - Demkowicz, Pardo, Rachowicz, 2002 -.


- **hp-adaptivity** - Rachowicz, Oden, Demkowicz, 1989 - , - Demkowicz, Rachowicz, Devloo, 2001 -.

- **Goal oriented hp-adaptivity** - Solin, Demkowicz, 2002 - , - Zdunek, Rachowicz, 2002 -.
1. INTRODUCTION: Literature Review

*hp*-edge Finite Elements

- $H(\text{curl})$ – conforming families of FE - Nedelec, 1980, 1986 -.


- Pollution error estimates - Ihlenburg, Babuska, 1997 -.

- De Rham diagram for *hp*-edge FE - Demkowicz, Monk, Vardapetyan, Rachowicz, 1999 -, - Demkowicz, Babuska, 2001 -, - Demkowicz, Babuska, Schoberl, Monk, 2003? -.

- Infinite elements - Cecot, Demkowicz, Rachowicz, 2000 -.

- Implementation details:
  - 2D - Rachowicz, Demkowicz, 1998 -.
  - 3D - Rachowicz, Demkowicz, 2002 -, - Zdunek, Rachowicz, 2002 -.
1. INTRODUCTION: Literature Review

Multigrid solver for spd problems

- **Symmetric and positive definite problems**
  - *hp*-FE - Ainsworth, 1996 -.
  - Parallel implementations - Cowsar, Wheeler, 1990 - , - Oden, Patra and Feng, 1993 -.
  - Conjugate Gradient - Shewchuck, 1994 -.
1. INTRODUCTION: Literature Review

Multigrid solver for electromagnetics

- Multigrid for indefinite problems - Cai, Widlund, 1992 -.

- Multigrid for electromagnetics
  - Hiptmair, 1998 -
  - Beck, Deuflhard, Hiptmair, 1999 -.
  - Rachowicz, Demkowicz, Bajer, Walsh, 1999 -.
  - Arnold, Falk, Winther, 2000 -.
  - Haase, Kuhn, Langer, 2001 -.
  - Gopalakrishnan, Pasciak, Demkowicz, 2002 -.
2. OBJECTIVES

- Design, implement, and study (numerically and theoretically) a two grid solver for:
  1. 2D and 3D real and complex valued elliptic problems.
  2. 2D and 3D electromagnetic problems.

- Integrate the two grid solver with the \( hp \)-adaptive strategy.

- Solve the presented problems in RCS analysis and modeling of LWD electromagnetic measuring devices.
3. PRELIMINARY WORK: Outline

Integration of $hp$-adaptivity with a two grid solver for spd problems

- Formulation of the method.
- Implementation.
- Numerical results. Possibility of guiding $hp$-refinements with a partially converged solution.
- Conclusions.
3. PRELIMINARY WORK: Formulation of the method

We seek \( x \) such that \( Ax = b \). Consider the following iterative scheme:

\[
\begin{align*}
  r^{(n+1)} &= [I - \alpha^{(n)} AS]r^{(n)} \\
  x^{(n+1)} &= [I - \alpha^{(n)} S]r^{(n)}
\end{align*}
\]

where \( S \) is a matrix, and \( \alpha^{(n)} \) is a relaxation parameter. \( \alpha^{(n)} \) optimal if:

\[
\alpha^{(n)} = \arg \min \| x^{(n+1)} - x \|_A = \frac{(A^{-1}r^{(n)}, Sr^{(n)})_A}{(Sr^{(n)}, Sr^{(n)})_A}
\]

Then, we define our two grid solver as:

1 Iteration with \( S = SF = \sum A_i^{-1} \) +
1 Iteration with \( S = SC = PA^{-1}R \)
3. PRELIMINARY WORK: Formulation of the method

Error reduction and stopping criteria

Let \( e^{(n)} = x^{(n)} - x \) the error at step \( n \), \( \tilde{e}^{(n)} = [I - S_C A] e^{(n)} = [I - P_C] e^{(n)} \). Then:

\[
\frac{\| e^{(n+1)} \|^2_A}{\| e^{(n)} \|^2_A} = 1 - \frac{\| (\tilde{e}^{(n)}, S_F A \tilde{e}^{(n)})_A \|^2}{\| \tilde{e}^{(n)} \|^2_A \| S_F A \tilde{e}^{(n)} \|^2_A} = 1 - \frac{\| (\tilde{e}^{(n)}, (P_C + S_F A) \tilde{e}^{(n)})_A \|^2}{\| \tilde{e}^{(n)} \|^2_A \| S_F A \tilde{e}^{(n)} \|^2_A}
\]

Then:

\[
\frac{\| e^{(n+1)} \|^2_A}{\| e^{(n)} \|^2_A} \leq \sup_e [1 - \frac{\| (e, (P_C + S_F A)e)_A \|^2}{\| e \|^2_A \| S_F A e \|^2_A}] \leq C < 1 \quad \text{(Error Reduction)}
\]

For our stopping criteria, we want: Iterative Solver Error \( \approx \) Discretization Error. That is:

\[
\frac{\| e^{(n+1)} \|_A}{\| e^{(0)} \|_A} \leq 0.01 \quad \text{(Stopping Criteria)}
\]
3. PRELIMINARY WORK: Implementation

Assembling

- Stiffness Matrix.
- Block Jacobi Smoother.
- Prolongation Operator.
- Restriction Operator.
3. PRELIMINARY WORK: Implementation

Selection of patches (for block Jacobi smoother)

Coarse Grid

Fine Grid

Three examples of patches (blocks) for the Block Jacobi smoother:

Example 1: span of basis functions with support contained in the support of a coarse grid vertex node basis function.
Example 2: span of basis functions with support contained in the support of a fine grid vertex node basis function.
Example 3: span of basis functions corresponding to an element stiffness matrix.
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4. PRELIMINARY WORK: Numerical Results

- Presentation of four examples.
- Importance of using automatic $h_p$-adaptivity.
- Different sets of shape functions highly affect conditioning of stiffness matrix.
- Performance of different smoothers.
- Importance of optimal relaxation parameter.
- Error estimation.
- Possibility of guiding $h_p$-refinements with a partially converged solution.
4. PRELIMINARY WORK: Numerical Results

L-shape domain example

Equation: $-\Delta u = 0$

Boundary Conditions: N-Neumann, D-Dirichlet

Solution:

$$u = r^{2/3} \sin(2\theta/3 + \pi/3)$$

Convergence history
(tolerance error = 0.1 %)

Final $h_p$-grid

Supervisor: L. Demkowicz
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4. PRELIMINARY WORK: Numerical Results

Shock like solution example

Equation: \(-\Delta u = f\)
Boundary Conditions: N - Neumann, D - Dirichlet

Solution:
\[ u = \arctan[60(r - 1)] \]
\[ r = \sqrt{(x - 1.25)^2 + (y + 0.25)^2} \]

Convergence history
(tolerance error = 0.1 %)

Final \(hp\)-grid
4. PRELIMINARY WORK: Numerical Results

Isotropic heat conduction example

Equation: $\nabla (K \nabla u) = f^{(k)}$

$K = K^{(k)} = K^{(k)}_x$

$K^{(k)}_x = (25, 7, 5, 0.2, 0.05)$

Solution: unknown

Boundary Conditions:

$K \nabla u \cdot n = g^{(i)} - \alpha^{(i)} u$

Convergence history
(tolerance error = 0.1 %)

Final $h_p$-grid
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4. PRELIMINARY WORK: Numerical Results

Orthotropiches heat conduction example

Equation: \( \nabla (K \nabla u) = f^{(k)} \)

\[
K = K^{(k)} = \begin{bmatrix}
K_x^{(k)} & 0 \\
0 & K_y^{(k)}
\end{bmatrix}
\]

\[
K_x^{(k)} = (25, 7, 5, 0.2, 0.05)
\]

\[
K_y^{(k)} = (25, 0.8, 0.0001, 0.2, 0.05)
\]

Solution: unknown

Boundary Conditions:

\[
K^{(i)} \nabla u \cdot n = g^{(i)} - \alpha^{(i)} u
\]

Convergence history

(tolerance error = 0.1 %)

Final \(hp\) grid
4. PRELIMINARY WORK: Numerical Results

Convergence comparison

Orthotropic heat conduction example

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ERROR IN THE RELATIVE ENERGY NORM (%)</th>
<th>NUMBER OF DOF</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>h−Adaptivity</td>
<td>343000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A priori adaptivity</td>
<td>216000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hp−Adaptivity</td>
<td>125000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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4. PRELIMINARY WORK: Numerical Results

Performance of different smoothers
L-shape domain example (11837 dof)

Smother 1: requires 16 times more memory than stiffness matrix.
Smother 2: requires 4 times more memory than stiffness matrix.
Smother 3: requires as much memory as the stiffness matrix.
4. PRELIMINARY WORK: Numerical Results

Relaxation parameter

L-shape domain example (only smoothing operations)

Convergence or not, depends almost exclusively upon $p$.

Convergence rate of the method (provided that the method converges) depends almost exclusively upon $h$.

The optimal relaxation guarantees faster convergence than any fixed relaxation parameter.
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4. PRELIMINARY WORK: Numerical Results

Error Estimation

\[
\frac{\| e^{(n)} \|_A}{\| e^{(0)} \|_A} = \frac{\| A^{-1}r^{(n)} \|_A}{\| A^{-1}r^{(0)} \|_A} \approx \frac{\alpha^{(n)}Sr^{(n)}e^{(n)} }{\alpha^{(0)}Sr^{(0)}e^{(0)} } \quad \text{(Error Estimate)}
\]

L-shape domain (1889 dof)

Smoothing iterations only

Two grid solver iterations

Comparing the exact error vs an estimate to the error.

Supervisor: L. Demkowicz
4. PRELIMINARY WORK: Numerical Results

Error Estimation

Comparing the exact error vs an estimate to the error.
4. PRELIMINARY WORK: Numerical Results

Guiding automatic $hp$-refinements

L-shape domain problem. Guiding $hp$-refinements with a partially converged solution.

![Graphs showing energy and discretization error estimates and number of iterations.]
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4. PRELIMINARY WORK: Numerical Results

Guiding automatic $h_p$-refinements

Isotropic heat conduction. Guiding $h_p$-refinements with a partially converged solution.
4. PRELIMINARY WORK: Numerical Results

Guiding automatic $hp$-refinements

Orthotropic heat conduction. Guiding $hp$-refinements with a partially converged solution.

Energy error estimate

Discretization error estimate

Number of iterations
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4. PRELIMINARY WORK: Conclusions

- Assembling reduces logical complexity.
- Jacobi patches defined by d.o.f. associated to elements.
- Optimal relaxation parameter needed for $h_p$-meshes.
- Two grid solver outperforms smoothing iterations only.
- Guiding $h_p$-refinements is possible with only partially converged solutions.
- Number of iterations needed for guiding $h_p$-refinements at a level below 5 per mesh.
5. MAXWELL’S EQUATIONS for $h_p$-FEM

Variational formulation

The reduced wave equation in $\Omega$,

$$\nabla \times \left( \frac{1}{\mu} \nabla \times E \right) - (\omega^2 \epsilon - j \omega \sigma) E = -j \omega J^{\text{imp}},$$

A variational formulation

$$\begin{cases} 
\text{Find } E \in H_D(\text{curl}; \Omega) \text{ such that} \\
\int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{\mu} (\nabla \times E) \cdot (\nabla \times F) dx - \int_{\Omega} (\omega^2 \epsilon - j \omega \sigma) E \cdot F dx = \\
- j \omega \left\{ \int_{\Omega} J^{\text{imp}} \cdot \bar{F} dx + \int_{\Gamma_2} J_s^{\text{imp}} \cdot \bar{F} dS \right\} \text{ for all } F \in H_D(\text{curl}; \Omega). 
\end{cases}$$

A regularized variational formulation (using Lagrange multipliers):

$$\begin{cases} 
\text{Find } E \in H_D(\text{curl}; \Omega), p \in H^1_D(\Omega) \text{ such that} \\
\int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{\mu} (\nabla \times E)(\nabla \times F) dx - \int_{\Omega} (\omega^2 \epsilon - j \omega \sigma) E \cdot F dx - \int_{\Omega} (\omega^2 \epsilon - j \omega \sigma) \nabla p \cdot F dx = \\
- j \omega \left\{ \int_{\Omega} J^{\text{imp}} \cdot \bar{F} dx + \int_{\Gamma_2} J_s^{\text{imp}} \cdot \bar{F} dS \right\} \forall F \in H_D(\text{curl}; \Omega) \\
- \int_{\Omega} (\omega^2 \epsilon - j \omega \sigma) E \cdot \nabla q dx = - j \omega \left\{ \int_{\Omega} J^{\text{imp}} \cdot \nabla q dx + \int_{\Gamma_2} J_s^{\text{imp}} \cdot \nabla q dS \right\} \forall q \in H^1_D(\Omega). 
\end{cases}$$

Supervisor: L. Demkowicz
5. MAXWELL’S EQUATIONS and $hp$-FEM

De Rham diagram

De Rham diagram is critical to the theory of FE discretizations of Maxwell’s equations.

$$\mathbb{R} \rightarrow W \xrightarrow{\nabla} Q \xrightarrow{\nabla \times} V \xrightarrow{\nabla^\circ} L^2 \rightarrow 0$$

$$\downarrow \text{id} \quad \downarrow \Pi \quad \downarrow \Pi^{\text{curl}} \quad \downarrow \Pi^{\text{div}} \quad \downarrow P$$

$$\mathbb{R} \rightarrow W^p \xrightarrow{\nabla} Q^p \xrightarrow{\nabla \times} V^p \xrightarrow{\nabla^\circ} W^{p-1} \rightarrow 0.$$
5. MAXWELL’S EQUATIONS and $h_p$-FEM

A two grid solver for discretization of Maxwell’s equations using $h_p$-FE

Helmholtz decomposition:

$$H_D(\text{curl}; \Omega) = (\text{Ker}(\text{curl})) \oplus (\text{Ker}(\text{curl}))^\perp$$

We define the following subspaces ($T =$grid, $K =$element, $v =$vertex, $e =$edge):

$$\Omega_{k,i}^v = \text{int}(\bigcup \{ \bar{K} \in T_k : v_{k,i} \in \partial K \}) \quad ; \quad \Omega_{k,i}^e = \text{int}(\bigcup \{ \bar{K} \in T_k : e_{k,i} \in \partial K \})$$

Domain decomposition

$$M_{k,i}^v = \{ u \in M_k : \text{supp}(u) \subset \Omega_{k,i}^v \} \quad ; \quad M_{k,i}^e = \{ u \in M_k : \text{supp}(u) \subset \Omega_{k,i}^e \}$$

Nedelec’s elements decomposition

$$W_{k,i}^v = \{ u \in W_k : \text{supp}(u) \subset \Omega_{k,i}^v \} \quad ; \quad W_{k,i}^e = \{ u \in W_k : \text{supp}(u) \subset \Omega_{k,i}^e \} = \emptyset$$

Polynomial spaces decomposition

Hiptmair proposed the following decomposition of $M_k$:

$$M_k = \sum_e M_{k,i}^e + \sum_v \nabla W_{k,i}^v$$

Arnold et. al proposed the following decomposition of $M_k$:

$$M_k = \sum_v M_{k,i}^v$$
6. PROPOSED RESEARCH

- Design and implement a 3D version of the two grid solver for elliptic problems.
- Study numerically the 3D version of the two grid solver.
- Design, study and implement a two grid solver for 2D Maxwell’s equations.
- Design, study and implement a two grid solver for 3D Maxwell’s equations.
- Study and design an error estimator for a two grid solver for Maxwell’s equations.
- Study performance of different smoothers (in context of the two grid solver) for Maxwell’s equations.
- Design, study, and implement a flexible CG/GMRES method that is suitable to accelerate the two grid solver for Maxwell’s equations.
- Develop a convergence theory for all algorithms mentioned above.
- Apply the $hp$-adaptive strategy (possibly goal-oriented) combined with the two grid solver in order to solve a number of problems related to radar cross section (RCS) analysis and modeling of LWD electromagnetic measuring devices.
6. PROPOSED RESEARCH

- **Area A:** Design and study a two grid solver for 2D and 3D spd problems and Maxwell’s equations, an adequate error estimator and smoother, and develop a convergence theory.

- **Area B:** Design and implement a two grid solver for 2D and 3D spd problems and Maxwell’s equations, an adequate error estimator and three different smoothers. Study numerically these algorithms, including convergence properties, importance of shape functions and relaxation parameter, acceleration produced by CG/GMRES algorithm, accuracy of the error estimate, and possibility of guiding $hp$-refinements with partially converged solutions.

- **Area C:** Create a two grid solver integrated with $hp$-adaptivity for electromagnetic applications. Apply the $hp$-adaptive strategy (possibly goal-oriented) combined with the two grid solver in order to solve a number of problems related to radar cross section (RCS) analysis and modeling of LWD electromagnetic measuring devices.
4. PRELIMINARY WORK: Numerical Results

Sensitivity of the solution with respect to the selection of shape functions

Difference between the frontal solver and superLU solutions, measured in the relative (with respect to the energy norm of the solution) energy norm for the L-shape (left) and shock (right) problems

Supervisor: L. Demkowicz

CAM Dissertation Proposal
4. PRELIMINARY WORK: Numerical Results

Two grid solver vs smoother

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Example</th>
<th>Nr of dof</th>
<th>1 - 1</th>
<th>3 - 1</th>
<th>Only Smoothing</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>L-shape</td>
<td>1889</td>
<td>13 (24)</td>
<td>14 (25)</td>
<td>34 (96)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L-shape</td>
<td>11837</td>
<td>12 (24)</td>
<td>13 (24)</td>
<td>18 (74)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shock</td>
<td>2821</td>
<td>5 (11)</td>
<td>6 (11)</td>
<td>478 (732)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shock</td>
<td>12093</td>
<td>8 (19)</td>
<td>9 (20)</td>
<td>326 (908)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shock</td>
<td>34389</td>
<td>12 (28)</td>
<td>13 (30)</td>
<td>18 (257)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Number of iterations needed for relative EXACT ERROR $\leq 0.01$ (0.001).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Example</th>
<th>Nr of dof</th>
<th>1 - 1</th>
<th>3 - 1</th>
<th>Only Smoothing</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>L-shape</td>
<td>1889</td>
<td>11 (22)</td>
<td>12 (23)</td>
<td>14 (65)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L-shape</td>
<td>11837</td>
<td>9 (21)</td>
<td>10 (22)</td>
<td>13 (35)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shock</td>
<td>2821</td>
<td>6 (11)</td>
<td>6 (11)</td>
<td>295 (556)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shock</td>
<td>12093</td>
<td>7 (15)</td>
<td>7 (17)</td>
<td>9 (274)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shock</td>
<td>34389</td>
<td>9 (23)</td>
<td>10 (24)</td>
<td>12 (33)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Number of iterations needed for relative ERROR ESTIMATE $\leq 0.01$ (0.001).
4. PRELIMINARY WORK: Numerical Results

Importance (or not) of averaging operator

L-shape domain example