

The Isoperimetric Problem in the Gauss Space

Aldo Pratelli

IMDEA Matematicas, Madrid

BCAM, December 5 2008

Summary

1

2

3

Summary

1 Introduction: the geometry in the Gauss space

2

3

Summary

- 1 Introduction: the geometry in the Gauss space
- 2 Isoperimetric inequality: existence and uniqueness of the solution
- 3

Summary

- 1 Introduction: the geometry in the Gauss space
- 2 Isoperimetric inequality: existence and uniqueness of the solution
- 3 Isoperimetric inequality: stability of the solution

Introduction: the geometry in the Gauss space

Introduction: the geometry in the Gauss space

The Gauss Space is simply \mathbb{R}^n with weight $e^{-|x|^2/2}$, that is for each set $E \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ on has

$$V_G(E) := \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{n/2}} \int_E e^{-\frac{|x|^2}{2}} d\mathcal{H}^n \qquad V(E) := \int_E 1 d\mathcal{H}^n$$

Introduction: the geometry in the Gauss space

The Gauss Space is simply \mathbb{R}^n with weight $e^{-|x|^2/2}$, that is for each set $E \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ on has

$$V_G(E) := \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{n/2}} \int_E e^{-\frac{|x|^2}{2}} d\mathcal{H}^n \qquad V(E) := \int_E 1 d\mathcal{H}^n$$

The green part is so that

$$V_G(\mathbb{R}^n) = 1$$

Introduction: the geometry in the Gauss space

The Gauss Space is simply \mathbb{R}^n with weight $e^{-|x|^2/2}$, that is for each set $E \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ on has

$$V_G(E) := \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{n/2}} \int_E e^{-\frac{|x|^2}{2}} d\mathcal{H}^n \qquad V(E) := \int_E 1 d\mathcal{H}^n$$

$$P_G(E) := \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{(n-1)/2}} \int_{\partial E} e^{-\frac{|x|^2}{2}} d\mathcal{H}^{n-1} \qquad P(E) := \int_{\partial E} 1 d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}$$

Isoperimetric inequality: existence and uniqueness of the solution

Isoperimetric inequality: existence and uniqueness of the solution

What is the *isoperimetric set* of fixed volume $0 < \alpha < 1$?

Isoperimetric inequality: existence and uniqueness of the solution

What is the *isoperimetric set* of fixed volume $0 < \alpha < 1$? That is,

$$\min \left\{ P_G(E) : V_G(E) = \alpha \right\}.$$

Isoperimetric inequality: existence and uniqueness of the solution

What is the *isoperimetric set* of fixed volume $0 < \alpha < 1$? That is,

$$\min \left\{ P_G(E) : V_G(E) = \alpha \right\}.$$

- In the **Euclidean case**, it is well known that the solution is **the ball**.

Isoperimetric inequality: existence and uniqueness of the solution

What is the *isoperimetric set* of fixed volume $0 < \alpha < 1$? That is,

$$\min \left\{ P_G(E) : V_G(E) = \alpha \right\}.$$

- In the **Euclidean case**, it is well known that the solution is **the ball**.
- Applications: mainly in Statistics and Calculus of Variations.

Isoperimetric inequality: existence and uniqueness of the solution

What is the *isoperimetric set* of fixed volume $0 < \alpha < 1$? That is,

$$\min \left\{ P_G(E) : V_G(E) = \alpha \right\}.$$

- In the **Euclidean case**, it is well known that the solution is **the ball**.
- Applications: mainly in Statistics and Calculus of Variations.
- Other densities (non-Gaussian): very badly known.

Isoperimetric inequality: existence and uniqueness of the solution

What is the *isoperimetric set* of fixed volume $0 < \alpha < 1$? That is,

$$\min \left\{ P_G(E) : V_G(E) = \alpha \right\}.$$

- In the **Euclidean case**, it is well known that the solution is **the ball**.
- Applications: mainly in Statistics and Calculus of Variations.
- Other densities (non-Gaussian): very badly known.
- The **solution** is: the half-space (of correct volume).

Isoperimetric inequality: existence and uniqueness of the solution

What is the *isoperimetric set* of fixed volume $0 < \alpha < 1$? That is,

$$\min \left\{ P_G(E) : V_G(E) = \alpha \right\}.$$

- In the **Euclidean case**, it is well known that the solution is **the ball**.
- Applications: mainly in Statistics and Calculus of Variations.
- Other densities (non-Gaussian): very badly known.
- The **solution** is: the half-space (of correct volume).
- Proof of the “existence”: first independently by **C. Borell** (1975) and **V.N. Sudakov–B.S. Cirel’son** (1974). Then via “geometric” methods by **A. Ehrhard** (1983), and via probabilistic methods by **D. Bakry–M. Ledoux** (1995) and **S.G. Bobkov** (1997).

Isoperimetric inequality: existence and uniqueness of the solution

What is the *isoperimetric set* of fixed volume $0 < \alpha < 1$? That is,

$$\min \left\{ P_G(E) : V_G(E) = \alpha \right\}.$$

- In the **Euclidean case**, it is well known that the solution is **the ball**.
- Applications: mainly in Statistics and Calculus of Variations.
- Other densities (non-Gaussian): very badly known.
- The **solution** is: the half-space (of correct volume).
- Proof of the “existence”: first independently by **C. Borell** (1975) and **V.N. Sudakov–B.S. Cirel’son** (1974). Then via “geometric” methods by **A. Ehrhard** (1983), and via probabilistic methods by **D. Bakry–M. Ledoux** (1995) and **S.G. Bobkov** (1997).
- Proof of the “uniqueness”: **E.A. Carlen–C. Kerse** (2001) via theory of rearrangements and probabilistic techniques involving the Mehler (Ornstein-Uhlenbeck) semigroup.

Our result

Our result

In [N. Fusco–F. Maggi–A.P. \(2008\)](#) it is proved what follows.

Our result

In N. Fusco–F. Maggi–A.P. (2008) it is proved what follows.

Define $\lambda(E) = \min \{V(E\Delta B) : B \text{ is a ball, } V(E) = V(B)\}$. Then

Our result

In N. Fusco–F. Maggi–A.P. (2008) it is proved what follows.
Define $\lambda(E) = \min \{V(E\Delta B) : B \text{ is a ball, } V(E) = V(B)\}$. Then

$$P(E) \geq P(B) \quad .$$

Our result

In N. Fusco–F. Maggi–A.P. (2008) it is proved what follows.

Define $\lambda(E) = \min \{V(E\Delta B) : B \text{ is a ball, } V(E) = V(B)\}$. Then

$$P(E) \geq P(B) + \frac{1}{C} \lambda(E)^2.$$

Our result

In N. Fusco–F. Maggi–A.P. (2008) it is proved what follows.

Define $\lambda(E) = \min \{V(E\Delta B) : B \text{ is a ball, } V(E) = V(B)\}$. Then

$$P(E) \geq P(B) + \frac{1}{C} \lambda(E)^2.$$

In A. Cianchi–N. Fusco–F. Maggi–A.P. (2008) it is proved what follows.

Our result

In N. Fusco–F. Maggi–A.P. (2008) it is proved what follows.

Define $\lambda(E) = \min \{V(E\Delta B) : B \text{ is a ball, } V(E) = V(B)\}$. Then

$$P(E) \geq P(B) + \frac{1}{C} \lambda(E)^2.$$

In A. Cianchi–N. Fusco–F. Maggi–A.P. (2008) it is proved what follows.

Define $\lambda_G(E) = \min \{V(E\Delta H) : H \text{ half-space, } V_G(H) = V_G(B)\}$. Then

Our result

In N. Fusco–F. Maggi–A.P. (2008) it is proved what follows.

Define $\lambda(E) = \min \{V(E\Delta B) : B \text{ is a ball, } V(E) = V(B)\}$. Then

$$P(E) \geq P(B) + \frac{1}{C} \lambda(E)^2.$$

In A. Cianchi–N. Fusco–F. Maggi–A.P. (2008) it is proved what follows.

Define $\lambda_G(E) = \min \{V(E\Delta H) : H \text{ half-space, } V_G(E) = V_G(H)\}$. Then

$$P_G(E) \geq P_G(H) \quad .$$

Our result

In N. Fusco–F. Maggi–A.P. (2008) it is proved what follows.

Define $\lambda(E) = \min \{V(E\Delta B) : B \text{ is a ball, } V(E) = V(B)\}$. Then

$$P(E) \geq P(B) + \frac{1}{C} \lambda(E)^2.$$

In A. Cianchi–N. Fusco–F. Maggi–A.P. (2008) it is proved what follows.

Define $\lambda_G(E) = \min \{V(E\Delta H) : H \text{ half-space, } V_G(H) = V_G(B)\}$. Then

$$P_G(E) \geq P_G(H) + \frac{1}{C} \lambda_G(E)^2.$$

The Ehrhard symmetrization: $1D$ case

The Ehrhard symmetrization: $1D$ case

Euclidean case: the usual **Steiner symmetrization**

The Ehrhard symmetrization: $1D$ case

Euclidean case: the usual **Steiner symmetrization**

If $E \subseteq \mathbb{R}$, then the **Steiner symm.** of E is

$$E^* := [-\lambda, \lambda] \quad \text{with } V(E) = V(E^*).$$

The Ehrhard symmetrization: $1D$ case

Euclidean case: the usual **Steiner symmetrization**

If $E \subseteq \mathbb{R}$, then the **Steiner symm.** of E is

$$E^* := [-\lambda, \lambda] \quad \text{with } V(E) = V(E^*).$$

Gaussian case: the Ehrhard symmetrization

The Ehrhard symmetrization: $1D$ case

Euclidean case: the usual **Steiner symmetrization**

If $E \subseteq \mathbb{R}$, then the **Steiner symm.** of E is

$$E^* := [-\lambda, \lambda] \quad \text{with } V(E) = V(E^*).$$

Gaussian case: the Ehrhard symmetrization

If $E \subseteq \mathbb{R}$, then the Ehrhard symm. of E is

$$E^* := [\lambda, +\infty] \quad \text{with } V_G(E) = V_G(E^*).$$

The Ehrhard symmetrization: $1D$ case

Euclidean case: the usual **Steiner symmetrization**

If $E \subseteq \mathbb{R}$, then the **Steiner symm.** of E is

$$E^* := [-\lambda, \lambda] \quad \text{with } V(E) = V(E^*).$$

Gaussian case: the Ehrhard symmetrization

If $E \subseteq \mathbb{R}$, then the Ehrhard symm. of E is

$$E^* := [\lambda, +\infty] \quad \text{with } V_G(E) = V_G(E^*).$$

$$V(E^*) = V(E) \quad (\text{by Fubini})$$

$$P(E^*) \leq P(E) \quad (\text{trivially quantitative})$$

The Ehrhard symmetrization: $1D$ case

Euclidean case: the usual **Steiner symmetrization**

If $E \subseteq \mathbb{R}$, then the **Steiner symm.** of E is

$$E^* := [-\lambda, \lambda] \quad \text{with } V(E) = V(E^*).$$

Gaussian case: the Ehrhard symmetrization

If $E \subseteq \mathbb{R}$, then the Ehrhard symm. of E is

$$E^* := [\lambda, +\infty) \quad \text{with } V_G(E) = V_G(E^*).$$

$$V(E^*) = V(E) \quad V_G(E^*) = V_G(E) \quad (\text{by Fubini})$$

$$P(E^*) \leq P(E) \quad P_G(E^*) \leq P_G(E) \quad (\text{almost trivially quantitative})$$

The Ehrhard symmetrization: general case

The Ehrhard symmetrization: general case

For a set $E \subseteq \mathbb{R}_x^n \approx \mathbb{R}_s^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R}_y$, and for each $s \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$, define the *section*

$$\mathbb{R} \supseteq E_s := \{y \in \mathbb{R} : (s, y) \in E\}.$$

The Ehrhard symmetrization: general case

For a set $E \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n \approx \mathbb{R}_s^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R}_y$, and for each $s \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$, define the *section*

$$\mathbb{R} \supseteq E_s := \{y \in \mathbb{R} : (s, y) \in E\}.$$

Steiner symmetrization: if $E \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$, then E^* is defined by

The Ehrhard symmetrization: general case

For a set $E \subseteq \mathbb{R}_x^n \approx \mathbb{R}_s^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R}_y$, and for each $s \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$, define the *section*

$$\mathbb{R} \supseteq E_s := \{y \in \mathbb{R} : (s, y) \in E\}.$$

Steiner symmetrization: if $E \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$, then E^* is defined by

$$E_s^* := (E_s)^*.$$

The Ehrhard symmetrization: general case

For a set $E \subseteq \mathbb{R}_x^n \approx \mathbb{R}_s^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R}_y$, and for each $s \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$, define the *section*

$$\mathbb{R} \supseteq E_s := \{y \in \mathbb{R} : (s, y) \in E\}.$$

Steiner symmetrization: if $E \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$, then E^* is defined by

$$E_s^* := (E_s)^*.$$

Ehrhard symmetrization: if $E \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$, then E^* is defined by

The Ehrhard symmetrization: general case

For a set $E \subseteq \mathbb{R}_x^n \approx \mathbb{R}_s^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R}_y$, and for each $s \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$, define the *section*

$$\mathbb{R} \supseteq E_s := \{y \in \mathbb{R} : (s, y) \in E\}.$$

Steiner symmetrization: if $E \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$, then E^* is defined by

$$E_s^* := (E_s)^*.$$

Ehrhard symmetrization: if $E \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$, then E^* is defined by

$$E_s^* := (E_s)^*.$$

The Ehrhard symmetrization: general case

For a set $E \subseteq \mathbb{R}_x^n \approx \mathbb{R}_s^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R}_y$, and for each $s \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$, define the *section*

$$\mathbb{R} \supseteq E_s := \{y \in \mathbb{R} : (s, y) \in E\}.$$

Steiner symmetrization: if $E \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$, then E^* is defined by

$$E_s^* := (E_s)^*.$$

Ehrhard symmetrization: if $E \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$, then E^* is defined by

$$E_s^* := (E_s)^*.$$

$$V(E^*) = V(E) \quad \text{(by Fubini)}$$

$$P(E^*) \leq P(E) \quad \text{(how does one prove this?)}$$

The Ehrhard symmetrization: general case

For a set $E \subseteq \mathbb{R}_x^n \approx \mathbb{R}_s^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R}_y$, and for each $s \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$, define the *section*

$$\mathbb{R} \supseteq E_s := \{y \in \mathbb{R} : (s, y) \in E\}.$$

Steiner symmetrization: if $E \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$, then E^* is defined by

$$E_s^* := (E_s)^*.$$

Ehrhard symmetrization: if $E \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$, then E^* is defined by

$$E_s^* := (E_s)^*.$$

$$V(E^*) = V(E) \quad V_G(E^*) = V_G(E) \quad (\text{by Fubini})$$

$$P(E^*) \leq P(E) \quad P_G(E^*) \leq P_G(E) \quad (\text{how does one prove this?})$$

How does one prove this (super-sketch)

How does one prove this (super-sketch)

Consider the functions $v, p : \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $v, p : \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, defined by

How does one prove this (super-sketch)

Consider the functions $v, p : \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $v, p : \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, defined by

$$v(s) = V(E_s)$$

$$p(s) = P(E_s)$$

How does one prove this (super-sketch)

Consider the functions $v, p : \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $v, p : \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, defined by

$$v(s) = V(E_s)$$

$$p(s) = P(E_s)$$

$$v(s) = V_G(E_s)$$

$$p(s) = P_G(E_s)$$

How does one prove this (super-sketch)

Consider the functions $v, p : \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $v, p : \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, defined by

$$v(s) = V(E_s) \qquad p(s) = P(E_s)$$

$$v(s) = V_G(E_s) \qquad p(s) = P_G(E_s)$$

One proves that

$$P(E) \geq \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} \sqrt{|\nabla v(s)|^2 + p(s)^2} d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(s)$$

with equality if $E = E^*$.

How does one prove this (super-sketch)

Consider the functions $v, p : \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $v, p : \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, defined by

$$v(s) = V(E_s)$$

$$p(s) = P(E_s)$$

$$v(s) = V_G(E_s)$$

$$p(s) = P_G(E_s)$$

One proves that

$$P_G(E) \geq \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} \sqrt{|\nabla v(s)|^2 + p(s)^2} d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(s)$$

with equality if $E = E^*$.

How does one prove this (super-sketch)

Consider the functions $v, p : \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $v, p : \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, defined by

$$\begin{aligned}v(s) &= V(E_s) & p(s) &= P(E_s) \\v(s) &= V_G(E_s) & p(s) &= P_G(E_s)\end{aligned}$$

One proves that

$$P_G(E) \geq \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} \sqrt{|\nabla v(s)|^2 + p(s)^2} d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(s)$$

with equality if $E = E^*$. As a consequence,

$$\begin{aligned}P(E) &\geq \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} \sqrt{|\nabla v(s)|^2 + p(s)^2} d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(s) \\&\geq \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} \sqrt{|\nabla v^*(s)|^2 + p^*(s)^2} d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(s) = P(E^*)\end{aligned}$$

How does one prove this (super-sketch)

Consider the functions $v, p : \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $v, p : \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, defined by

$$\begin{aligned} v(s) &= V(E_s) & p(s) &= P(E_s) \\ v(s) &= V_G(E_s) & p(s) &= P_G(E_s) \end{aligned}$$

One proves that

$$P_G(E) \geq \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} \sqrt{|\nabla v(s)|^2 + p(s)^2} d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(s)$$

with equality if $E = E^*$. As a consequence,

$$\begin{aligned} P_G(E) &\geq \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} \sqrt{|\nabla v(s)|^2 + p(s)^2} d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(s) \\ &\geq \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} \sqrt{|\nabla v^*(s)|^2 + p^*(s)^2} d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(s) = P(E^*) \end{aligned}$$

The proof of the existence–uniqueness

The proof of the existence–uniqueness

Step I There exists an isoperimetric set \bar{E} (by compactness)

The proof of the existence–uniqueness

Step I There exists an isoperimetric set \bar{E} (by compactness)

Step I There exists an isoperimetric set \bar{E} (by compactness)

The proof of the existence–uniqueness

Step I There exists an isoperimetric set \bar{E} (by compactness)

Step I There exists an isoperimetric set \bar{E} (by compactness)

Step II Almost all the 1–dimensional sections of \bar{E} are segments

The proof of the existence–uniqueness

Step I There exists an isoperimetric set \bar{E} (by compactness)

Step I There exists an isoperimetric set \bar{E} (by compactness)

Step II Almost all the 1–dimensional sections of \bar{E} are segments

Step II Almost all the 1–dimensional sections of \bar{E} are half-lines

The proof of the existence–uniqueness

Step I There exists an isoperimetric set \bar{E} (by compactness)

Step I There exists an isoperimetric set \bar{E} (by compactness)

Step II Almost all the 1–dimensional sections of \bar{E} are segments

Step II Almost all the 1–dimensional sections of \bar{E} are half-lines

Step III (the set of points of density 1 of) \bar{E} is convex

The proof of the existence–uniqueness

Step I There exists an isoperimetric set \bar{E} (by compactness)

Step I There exists an isoperimetric set \bar{E} (by compactness)

Step II Almost all the 1–dimensional sections of \bar{E} are segments

Step II Almost all the 1–dimensional sections of \bar{E} are half-lines

Step III (the set of points of density 1 of) \bar{E} is convex

Step III (the set of points of density 1 of) \bar{E} is convex

The proof of the existence–uniqueness

Step I There exists an isoperimetric set \bar{E} (by compactness)

Step I There exists an isoperimetric set \bar{E} (by compactness)

Step II Almost all the 1–dimensional sections of \bar{E} are segments

Step II Almost all the 1–dimensional sections of \bar{E} are half-lines

Step III (the set of points of density 1 of) \bar{E} is convex

Step III (the set of points of density 1 of) \bar{E} is convex

Step IV some smart De Giorgi arguments give the thesis

The proof of the existence–uniqueness

Step I There exists an isoperimetric set \bar{E} (by compactness)

Step I There exists an isoperimetric set \bar{E} (by compactness)

Step II Almost all the 1–dimensional sections of \bar{E} are segments

Step II Almost all the 1–dimensional sections of \bar{E} are half-lines

Step III (the set of points of density 1 of) \bar{E} is convex

Step III (the set of points of density 1 of) \bar{E} is convex

Step IV some smart De Giorgi arguments give the thesis

Step IV \bar{E} is convex, $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus E$ is convex, then the thesis follows

Isoperimetric inequality: stability of the solution

Isoperimetric inequality: stability of the solution

- Very different from the **Euclidean** case

Isoperimetric inequality: stability of the solution

- Very different from the **Euclidean** case

Step A Make a precise $1D$ stability estimate via “surgery”.

Isoperimetric inequality: stability of the solution

- Very different from the **Euclidean** case

Step A Make a precise 1D stability estimate via “surgery”. One finds

$$P_G(E) \geq P_G(H) + \frac{1}{C} \lambda(E) \sqrt{\log \left(\frac{1}{\lambda(E)} \right)},$$

where H is one half-line with same volume as E .

Step B

Step B

Step B Reduce to an $(n - 1)$ -dimensional case

Step B

Step B Reduce to an $(n - 1)$ -dimensional case

What does “reducing” mean? Passing from E to \widehat{E} so that

Step B

Step B Reduce to an $(n - 1)$ -dimensional case

What does “reducing” mean? Passing from E to \widehat{E} so that

$$\lambda(E) \leq C\lambda(\widehat{E}) \quad \text{and} \quad P_G(\widehat{E}) - P_G(H) \leq C \left(P_G(E) - P_G(H) \right)$$

Step B

Step B Reduce to an $(n - 1)$ -dimensional case

What does “reducing” mean? Passing from E to \widehat{E} so that

$$\lambda(E) \leq C\lambda(\widehat{E}) \quad \text{and} \quad \left(P_G(\widehat{E}) - P_G(H)\right)^{1/2} \leq C \left(P_G(E) - P_G(H)\right)^{1/2}$$

Step B

Step B Reduce to an $(n - 1)$ -dimensional case

What does “reducing” mean? Passing from E to \widehat{E} so that

$$\lambda(E) \leq C\lambda(\widehat{E}) \leq C' \left(P_G(\widehat{E}) - P_G(H) \right)^{1/2} \leq C'' \left(P_G(E) - P_G(H) \right)^{1/2}$$

Step B

Step B Reduce to an $(n - 1)$ -dimensional case

What does “reducing” mean? Passing from E to \widehat{E} so that

$$\lambda(E) \leq C\lambda(\widehat{E}) \leq C' \left(P_G(\widehat{E}) - P_G(H) \right)^{1/2} \leq C'' \left(P_G(E) - P_G(H) \right)^{1/2}$$

How do we do? We start from E and build E_1 and E_2 (drawing!)

Step B

Step B Reduce to an $(n - 1)$ -dimensional case

What does “reducing” mean? Passing from E to \widehat{E} so that

$$\lambda(E) \leq C\lambda(\widehat{E}) \leq C' \left(P_G(\widehat{E}) - P_G(H) \right)^{1/2} \leq C'' \left(P_G(E) - P_G(H) \right)^{1/2}$$

How do we do? We start from E and build E_1 and E_2 (drawing!)

$$\text{Trivially, } P_G(E) \geq \frac{P_G(E_1) + P_G(E_2)}{2}$$

Step B

Step B Reduce to an $(n - 1)$ -dimensional case

What does “reducing” mean? Passing from E to \hat{E} so that

$$\lambda(E) \leq C\lambda(\hat{E}) \leq C' \left(P_G(\hat{E}) - P_G(H) \right)^{1/2} \leq C'' \left(P_G(E) - P_G(H) \right)^{1/2}$$

How do we do? We start from E and build E_1 and E_2 (drawing!)

$$\text{Trivially, } P_G(E) \geq \frac{P_G(E_1) + P_G(E_2)}{2}$$

We are done because either $\lambda(E) \leq C\lambda(E_1)$, or $\lambda(E) \leq C\lambda(E_2)$...

Step B

Step B Reduce to an $(n - 1)$ -dimensional case

What does “reducing” mean? Passing from E to \hat{E} so that

$$\lambda(E) \leq C\lambda(\hat{E}) \leq C' \left(P_G(\hat{E}) - P_G(H) \right)^{1/2} \leq C'' \left(P_G(E) - P_G(H) \right)^{1/2}$$

How do we do? We start from E and build E_1 and E_2 (drawing!)

$$\text{Trivially, } P_G(E) \geq \frac{P_G(E_1) + P_G(E_2)}{2}$$

We are done because either $\lambda(E) \leq C\lambda(E_1)$, or $\lambda(E) \leq C\lambda(E_2)$...
... or we are done anyway! (hand drawing!)

Step B

Step B Reduce to an $(n - 1)$ -dimensional case

What does “reducing” mean? Passing from E to \widehat{E} so that

$$\lambda(E) \leq C\lambda(\widehat{E}) \leq C' \left(P_G(\widehat{E}) - P_G(H) \right)^{1/2} \leq C'' \left(P_G(E) - P_G(H) \right)^{1/2}$$

How do we do? We start from E and build E_1 and E_2 (drawing!)

$$\text{Trivially, } P_G(E) \geq \frac{P_G(E_1) + P_G(E_2)}{2}$$

We are done because either $\lambda(E) \leq C\lambda(E_1)$, or $\lambda(E) \leq C\lambda(E_2)$...
... or we are done anyway! (hand drawing!)

- By induction, we get $n - 1$ symmetries

Step C (in $2D$)

Step C (in $2D$)

Step C1 A calculation for a particular class of sets

Step C (in $2D$)

Step C1 A calculation for a particular class of sets

Step C2 An easy but powerful “geometrical” lemma

Step C (in $2D$)

Step C1 A calculation for a particular class of sets

Step C2 An easy but powerful “geometrical” lemma

Step C3 The case when σ is big (compared to $\lambda(E)$)

Step C (in $2D$)

Step C1 A calculation for a particular class of sets

Step C2 An easy but powerful “geometrical” lemma

Step C3 The case when σ is big (compared to $\lambda(E)$)

Step C4 The case when σ is 0 (smart surgery plus “horizontal pushing”)

Conclusion (in $2D$)

Conclusion (in $2D$)

Step D We already know everything if $E = E^*$

Conclusion (in $2D$)

Step D We already know everything if $E = E^*$

- Careful comparison between E and E^*

Conclusion (in $2D$)

Step D We already know everything if $E = E^*$

- Careful comparison between E and E^*

- read the paper

General result in dimension n

General result in dimension n

- If E is n -symmetric, then it is **bad**

General result in dimension n

- If E is n -symmetric, then it is **bad** , unless $V_G(E) \approx 0$ or $V_G(E) \approx 1$

General result in dimension n

- If E is n -symmetric, then it is **bad** , unless $V_G(E) \approx 0$ or $V_G(E) \approx 1$
- Ehrhard-like symmetrization of codimension 1 \longrightarrow a $2D$ case!

General result in dimension n

- If E is n -symmetric, then it is **bad** , unless $V_G(E) \approx 0$ or $V_G(E) \approx 1$
- Ehrhard-like symmetrization of codimension 1 \longrightarrow a $2D$ case!
- Everything can be read by a one-dimensional function (the $(n - 1)$ -dimensional volume of the sections)

General result in dimension n

- If E is n -symmetric, then it is **bad** , unless $V_G(E) \approx 0$ or $V_G(E) \approx 1$
- Ehrhard-like symmetrization of codimension 1 \longrightarrow a $2D$ case!
- Everything can be read by a one-dimensional function (the $(n - 1)$ -dimensional volume of the sections)
- Conclusion “directly” follows

Open problems

Open problems

Let E, F be convex and symmetric w.r.t. the origin. Then is it true that

$$V_G(E \cap F) \geq V_G(E)V_G(F) \quad ??$$

Open problems

Let E, F be convex and symmetric w.r.t. the origin. Then is it true that

$$V_G(E \cap F) \geq V_G(E)V_G(F) \quad ??$$

Answer: it seems clear (after a while), but there is a proof only in $2D$ (see Barthe)